International Journal of Law Analytics (IJLA)
Vol. 2, No. 3, August 2024: 267-280

Environmental Impacts of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC): An analysis of Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies

Muhammad Munir[1], Raziq Hussain[2], Munir Ahmad[3*]
Muslim Youth (MY) University
Corresponding Author: Munir Ahmad socialresearcher851@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: CPEC, Environmental Impacts, Sustainable Development, Climate Change Mitigation, Infrastructure Resilience

Received : 22, June
Revised : 25, July
Accepted: 27, August

A B S T R A C T

This article explores the complex environmental impacts of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) and suggests sustainable development strategies. Our study reveals significant changes in land use patterns, including loss of important habitat, which is contributing to biodiversity decline. Analysis of air quality data shows increased levels of pollutants in urban areas along the corridor, which are exacerbated by construction activity and increased traffic. Water resource assessments highlight issues related to quality and availability, especially in areas with high industrial activity. In response to these findings, we suggest methods for mitigating and adapting to climate change along the corridor. Interpreting these findings, we argue that these assessments are critical for identifying potential environmental problems and ensuring that development initiatives are built with long-term sustainability in mind. Recommendations for mitigating climate change include international cooperation, increasing public awareness, and using resilient design principles. For future development projects, we include sustainable practices, community involvement and compliance with environmentally friendly standards as important elements in economic growth. We draw conclusions by emphasizing the need for future studies to track long-term impacts, evaluate socio-economic implications, and implement environmentally friendly strategies, maintaining a long-term balance between economic development and environmental conservation in CPEC and other international projects.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.59890/ijla.v2i3.2472
https://journal.multitechpublisher.com/index.php/ijla/index

INTRODUCTION

A significant project in the field of international infrastructure development, the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) signifies the convergence of economic interests of China and Pakistan. CPEC is an ambitious program, launched in 2013, with the goal of promoting economic cooperation and improving connectivity between the two countries. The corridor, running from Xinjiang in northwest China to the Gwadar Port in southwest Pakistan, encompasses a network of energy installations, roadways, and railroads. In addition to having the potential to drastically alter the region's economic environment, the CPEC also carries significant geopolitical consequences, establishing China and Pakistan as major actors in the changing dynamics of South Asia and beyond. In the context of economic development, CPEC is significant in a number of ways. First and foremost, it is the flagship project of China's enormous global infrastructure project, the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). Being the centerpiece of the BRI, the CPEC has come to represent China's efforts towards global influence and economic integration. Second, the CPEC offers Pakistan opportunities for economic progress and growth. The corridor is positioned as a catalyst for addressing socio-economic concerns and promoting sustainable development in Pakistan with promises of more trade, better infrastructure, and job creation. Even as hopes for the economic benefits of CPEC increase, more people have realized the importance of evaluating environmental effects of the project. Keeping this in view, this article aims to accomplish two goals: first, to emphasize how crucial it is to assess the environmental effects of CPEC; second, to concentrate on mitigation and adaptation options for climate change within the context of this large-scale project.

There are a number of reasons that highlight the need to evaluate how CPEC may affect the environment. Firstly, there are unavoidable environmental consequences related to the size and extent of infrastructure development connected with CPEC, including the building of energy projects, roads, and railroads. The potential impacts extend to biodiversity, land use, and air and water quality, highlighting the necessity of a thorough analysis to guide sustainable development strategies. Secondly, major infrastructure projects like CPEC need to be closely examined for their potential to exacerbate or mitigate the growing issues that the world is facing due to climate change. The pressing need to solve the global climate problem is the reason for the emphasis on climate change adaptation and mitigation within the purview of CPEC. Because of the widespread threat that climate change poses to economies, cultures, and ecosystems, development projects must take proactive steps to address this issue.

This study intends to add to the conversation on sustainable development by providing insights into how CPEC might be in line with climate goals by focusing on these particular issues. The great potential of the CPEC for economic growth notwithstanding, its environmental effects need to be carefully considered. The necessity to assess the environmental effects of CPEC as a matter of great concern, with a focus on climate change adaptation and mitigation in particular, is what drove this study. This research aims to support a more comprehensive approach to infrastructure development in the context of the

changing global climate scenario by highlighting potential obstacles and suggesting methods for sustainable development.

THEORETICAL REVIEW

Environmental Impacts of Infrastructure Projects

Although infrastructure projects are essential to the growth of society, they have a variety of environmental effects that require careful consideration. An overview of the overall environmental effects of infrastructure development is given in this section, which also uses lessons learned from similar projects to provide light on particular effects. By their very nature, infrastructure projects put a lot of strain on ecosystems, changing land use patterns, biodiversity, and the quality of the air and water. According to a study, the construction phase usually entails significant land removal, which causes habitat loss and fragmentation. Air and water pollution are simultaneously caused by emissions from building machines and an increase in human activity. Infrastructure projects can have long-term effects on soil erosion, hydrological patterns, and the possibility of escalating climate change due to the production of greenhouse gases.

An understanding of the environmental effects is contextualized by using lessons learned from infrastructure projects similar to CPEC. One striking example of how large-scale hydroelectric projects can cause considerable changes to river ecosystems, affecting aquatic species and changing sediment movement is the Three Gorges Dam project in China [5]. Similarly, the project to expand the Panama Canal highlights the complex interaction between infrastructure development and biodiversity loss, as it resulted in the destruction of habitat and altered migratory patterns for many species [6]. Our understanding of how environmental impacts emerge in various contexts is enhanced by examining these particular situations, highlighting the necessity of context-specific mitigation and adaptation techniques in infrastructure planning and construction. To sum up, a comprehensive understanding of the environmental effects of infrastructure projects requires knowledge from both unique case studies and a broad perspective of common concerns. This dual strategy offers insightful lessons for the construction of sustainable infrastructure and makes it easier to comprehend the complexity involved in a more comprehensive way.

Climate Change and Infrastructure

Infrastructure project planning and execution are greatly impacted by the emerging global challenge of climate change. This section outlines the global background of climate change and emphasizes how development programs must take climate into account. Global infrastructure systems are under severe threat from the rapidly intensifying effects of climate change, which include rising global temperatures, altered precipitation patterns, and an increase in extreme weather events. Variations in temperature and precipitation patterns affect the lifespan, functionality, and design of different assets, which directly affects the resilience of infrastructure. The dynamic and growing character of

climate-related threats requires a paradigm shift in infrastructure planning due to the global context.

It is essential for development projects to incorporate climate change considerations in order to guarantee the long-term sustainability and efficacy of infrastructure expenditures. The World Bank emphasizes how climate change has a significant impact on infrastructure's ability to perform and how ignoring climate considerations can raise risks, disruptions, and financial losses. Furthermore, the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction emphasizes the necessity for cogent plans that address both disaster risk and climate change. Additionally, research like that highlights how prudent it is financially to incorporate climate-resilient design into infrastructure projects because it minimizes the risk of catastrophic failures in the face of changing climate conditions and lowers the cost of adaptation in the future. Development projects that take climate change into account will be in line with more general sustainability objectives, guaranteeing that infrastructure investments will not crumble under the effects of climate change and will instead enhance societal stability and general well-being.

Environmental Impacts of CPEC

Air Quality

Concerns about air quality have been raised by the CPEC, which is characterized by significant infrastructural development and increased industrial activity. The increase in industrial activity and transportation, especially the flow of people and commodities along the corridor, lead to higher pollution emissions. According to research [12] air pollution levels are greatly boosted by the transportation sector, which includes the growing use of trucks and other vehicles for logistical needs. Furthermore, the air quality problem is exacerbated by the industries created under the CPEC, especially those involved in energy generation and manufacturing, which discharge pollutants into the atmosphere such as particulate matter (PM), sulfur dioxide (SO2), and nitrogen oxides (NOx) [13]. Public health is directly impacted by the increased air pollution levels linked to CPEC. Cardiovascular and respiratory disorders may result from exposure to pollutants brought on by growing industrial and transportation activity [14]. Studies have shown that the release of fine particulate matter (PM2.5) and other pollutants is linked to a number of harmful health outcomes, such as long-term effects on pulmonary function, cardiovascular illnesses, and respiratory infections [15]. For this reason, the emission of these pollutants is especially worrying. In order to make well-informed policy actions, vulnerable groups living near CPEC-related activities such as urban centers and industrial zones need to have their health risks thoroughly assessed.

Water Impact

Regarding the sustainability of water resources in the area, the CPEC raises serious concerns due to its substantial effects on local water bodies. Hydrological patterns may change as a result of CPEC infrastructure projects

being built and run, which could have an impact on nearby rivers and water ecosystems. According to a study land-use changes related to CPEC may result in increased sedimentation and changes in water quality, which could have a negative effect on aquatic biodiversity and ecosystem health. Water supplies are under a lot of strain from the CPEC's expanding industrial and building projects. Water is essential for cooling and processing in many industries, especially those in the manufacturing and production of energy. According to a latest research, evaluating the water footprint of CPEC-affected enterprises is crucial, and sustainable water management techniques are required. Additionally, the building phase itself uses a lot of water for tasks like making concrete and controlling dust, which adds to the localized water stress. To put it briefly, the effects of CPEC on water resources, which include changes to nearby bodies of water as well as increased water use by construction and industry, call for a thorough analysis. Comprehending these dynamics is imperative in order to formulate sustainable approaches to water management and guarantee the adaptability of aquatic ecosystems amidst the development endeavors throughout the CPEC corridor.

Biodiversity

Concerns have been expressed regarding the CPEC potential effects on biodiversity, specifically with regard to habitat destruction and fragmentation. Large-scale CPEC infrastructure projects, like energy installations and roads, have the potential to split and destroy habitat, changing natural ecosystems and upsetting animal corridors. According to a research, habitat fragmentation might make it more difficult for species to migrate, which lowers genetic diversity and makes wildlife populations more vulnerable. The vast range of CPEC projects presents possible risks to the vulnerable animals that call the area home. The building of the CPEC has resulted in increased human activities that pose a threat to endangered species, which are already facing habitat degradation . For instance, building and maintaining infrastructure may directly invade vital ecosystems, making matters worse for species that are already in danger of going extinct. Strategic conservation measures must be incorporated into the planning and implementation of CPEC projects in order to guarantee the protection of endangered species. In summary, a thorough assessment is required due to the biodiversity consequences of CPEC, which include habitat disruption, fragmentation, and threats to endangered species. This knowledge is essential for developing CPEC projects in a way that balances economic development with biodiversity preservation by integrating efficient conservation measures into the developmental planning process.

Land Use Changes

Significant changes in land usage have been linked to the establishment of the CPEC, which has specifically contributed to habitat loss and deforestation. Massive land clearance is frequently required for large-scale infrastructure projects like road networks and industrial zones, which directly removes forests and natural ecosystems. According to a latest research, deforestation disturbs

ecosystems and reduces the availability of vital habitats for many species, highlighting the widespread effects of such land use changes on biodiversity. The agriculture sector is also affected significantly by the transformative nature of CPEC, which has a significant impact on land use patterns. Infrastructure growth and urbanization may encroach on agricultural land, changing the land's usage and perhaps having an impact on nearby farming communities. Moreover, changed water availability as a result of CPEC-related projects may affect agricultural output and call for modifications to farming methods. Comprehending and alleviating these agricultural consequences is essential to guaranteeing the longevity of CPEC's economic growth as well as the means of subsistence for nearby populations.

Climate Change Mitigation Strategies

Green Energy Initiatives

With the growing urgency to address climate change, incorporating renewable energy projects into the CPEC framework is an important tactic. The potential of renewable energy initiatives, such solar and wind power projects, to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote sustainable development has drawn attention. There is a great influence of CPEC-driven renewable energy projects on Pakistan's total energy landscape. Within the framework of the CPEC, the incorporation of carbon capture and storage (CCS) technologies becomes a critical tactic for mitigating climate change in conjunction with renewable energy projects. According to a research, CCS provides a way to absorb and store carbon dioxide emissions from power plants and industrial operations, keeping them from being released into the environment. Another research examined the possibility of implementing CCS within CPEC and emphasize its importance in reducing emissions from the expanding industrial activity linked to the economic corridor.

Sustainable Transportation

The CPEC relies heavily on sustainable mobility, and two important tactics to achieve this goal are encouraging the use of electric cars (EVs) and improving the infrastructure for public transportation. When EVs are used instead of typical combustion engine vehicles, air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions are reduced. A recent study examines the advantages and disadvantages of incorporating electric buses into urban transportation networks, highlighting the significance of these efforts in reducing environmental effects and advancing sustainable mobility. Building infrastructure that supports eco-friendly mobility options is a key element of sustainable transportation under the CPEC framework. Enhancements to the infrastructure, such bike lanes and bus lanes with dedicated lanes, are essential for encouraging environmentally friendly forms of transportation. A paper explores the opportunities and problems associated with sustainable transportation infrastructure, highlighting the necessity of thorough planning and financial support for systems that put an emphasis on energy efficiency and lessen their environmental impact.

Industry and Construction Practices

The CPEC places a strong emphasis on environmentally friendly business and building methods, especially when it comes to the materials utilized in infrastructure projects. For the purpose of reducing environmental effect and fostering long-term resilience, sustainable building materials must be used. A latest study investigates the viability and advantages of employing cutting-edge, eco-friendly building materials in the construction industry, such as waste-derived products and recycled aggregates. This strategy is in line with the overarching objectives of lessening the waste production and resource depletion connected to conventional building methods. Within the framework of the CPEC, green building standards are an essential part of sustainable manufacturing and construction practices. The adoption of ecologically responsible building design, construction, and operation is encouraged by adherence to standards such as the China Green Building Evaluation Label or Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED).

Climate Change Adaptation Strategies

Infrastructure Resilience

The CPEC requires strategic design considerations to improve infrastructure resilience in order to adapt to climate change. The need to resist extreme weather events, which are made worse by climate change, is met with creative technical and design solutions. A recent research emphasizes how crucial it is to include future forecasts and climate data when designing infrastructure in order to make sure that buildings can resist the escalation of extreme weather occurrences. Global best practices for the creation of climate-resilient infrastructure are in line with this proactive design approach. Retrofitting and modifying current infrastructure to meet changing climatic conditions is a crucial aspect of climate change adaptation. This adaptable approach aims to increase the resilience of the current infrastructure investments while also acknowledging its lifetime. The viability and effectiveness of retrofitting solutions for current infrastructure in response to shifting climatic patterns are investigated in a latest research. These adaptation strategies support the resilience and usefulness of infrastructure assets in the face of climate change by taking into account variables like rising sea levels and rising temperatures.

Community Resilience

In the framework of the CPEC, community resilience is promoted by putting community-based adaptation projects into action. These programs strive to improve local communities' ability to adapt to the effects of climate change by acknowledging their particular vulnerabilities and strengths. According to a research, community-based adaptation programs must be contextually relevant and in line with the interests and goals of the impacted communities. This is where participatory techniques come into play. These initiatives could focus on creating early warning systems, better managing water resources, and creating sustainable livelihoods. CPEC corridor villages' resilience is strengthened even

further by well-planned public awareness and education campaigns. Through these programs, locals will be equipped with the knowledge and abilities needed to comprehend and address the difficulties posed by climate change. The studies emphasize how education plays a crucial role in helping communities become more resilient to climate change by promoting a shared knowledge of hazards and adaptation techniques. These initiatives could include workshops, community engagement events, and campaigns to raise climate literacy in order to help people become more adaptive and help them make well-informed decisions.

METHODOLOGY

In our assessment of the environmental effects of the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC), we used a multidisciplinary approach that included remote sensing and GIS analysis, field surveys, air and water quality testing, environmental modeling, and stakeholder interviews. Remote sensing and GIS methods were used to monitor land use changes and analyze their influence on biodiversity, while field surveys gave direct information on species diversity and habitat conditions. Air quality was monitored using established stations in critical urban areas, while water resource assessments included sampling and hydrological modeling to determine changes in water quality and availability. Environmental modeling predicts future repercussions and the effectiveness of mitigation techniques like green construction and renewable energy projects. Stakeholder interviews and policy analysis provided qualitative insights into the socioeconomic consequences and efficacy of current environmental policies. This comprehensive approach enabled us to properly examine the CPEC's environmental impact and offer sustainable development alternatives along the corridor.

RESEARCH RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our research on the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) revealed important discoveries in a variety of environmental areas. We saw significant land use changes, with natural ecosystems being turned into urban and industrial zones, resulting in severe biodiversity loss, particularly in ecologically vulnerable places. Our remote sensing and GIS research found that plant cover has decreased along the corridor, directly affecting local wildlife populations and resulting in habitat fragmentation. Air quality evaluations revealed a significant rise in pollutants, particularly in metropolitan areas where building and traffic have increased. Particulate matter (PM2.5 and PM10) and nitrogen dioxide (NO2) values were considerably higher than baseline levels, showing a deterioration in air quality due to CPEC activities. Water resource assessments revealed pollution threats, with heavy metals and other pollutants found in various water bodies along the corridor, particularly near industrial zones. Furthermore, our hydrological simulation predicted future water scarcity difficulties due to increased industrial and agricultural demand. The environmental modeling results showed that, while the implementation of green building methods, renewable energy projects, and sustainable transportation programs has had

some positive effects, they are not yet widespread enough to offset the corridor's overall environmental impact. However, regions where these programs have been implemented have seen a decrease in greenhouse gas emissions and a steady improvement in environmental quality.

Policy Recommendations

Integration of Environmental Considerations

Improving environmental impact assessments (EIAs) is a crucial policy suggestion for the CPEC. Ensuring strict evaluation criteria, thorough stakeholder participation, and the inclusion of climate change issues are all necessary to strengthen the EIA process. This methodology, which emphasizes the need for a strong assessment framework that foresees and mitigates potential environmental impacts associated with infrastructure projects, is in line with international best practices. EIAs are essential to sustainable development because they give rise to well-informed decision-making and promote environmental stewardship.

Policy Measures for Sustainable Development

It is essential to implement comprehensive policy measures to guide CPEC toward sustainable growth. This involves creating and putting into effect policies that give the preservation of the environment, effective use of resources, and incorporation of climate-resilient activities first priority. Policies that balance economic growth with environmental preservation and social well-being are developed by taking cues from effective global models, such as the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction. An all-encompassing and sustainable approach to growth is ensured by CPEC policies that are in line with internationally acknowledged frameworks.

International Cooperation

Because climate change is a trans boundary issue, it is critical to promote international cooperation. To jointly face the issues of climate change adaptation and mitigation, CPEC should aggressively participate in cooperative efforts with international organizations, partner nations, and climate initiatives. Engaging in initiatives like the Paris Agreement promotes technology transfer, knowledge sharing, and concerted efforts to lower carbon emissions and improve adaptive capabilities. CPEC may benefit from pooled resources and experiences as well as contribute to larger international efforts by aligning with global climate goals.

Learning from Global Best Practices

Actively absorbing foreign best practices is a crucial component of international cooperation. In order to better understand successful global models of infrastructure management, climate resilience, and sustainable development, CPEC should set up research platforms. By participating in cooperative research projects and knowledge-sharing programs with nations that have effectively overcome comparable obstacles, CPEC is able to utilize tried-and-true tactics and

modify them to fit its particular situation. Acquiring knowledge from worldwide best practices not only makes policies more effective, but it also promotes innovation and a continual improvement culture. To sum up, these policy recommendations focus on how to incorporate environmental factors into decision-making processes, stress the importance of sustainable development policies, and promote global cooperation and knowledge exchange to support efforts to mitigate the effects of climate change and adapt to them within the CPEC.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The evaluation of the environmental effects of the CPEC has provided insightful information on a number of issues such as biodiversity, land use changes, air and water quality, and mitigation and adaption plans for climate change. Notably, the expansion of CPEC has brought about difficulties, especially with regard to air quality because of emissions from industry and transportation, possible health effects, changes to water resources, loss of biodiversity, and adjustments to land use patterns. Positively, the corridor has also seen the adoption of a number of methods aimed at mitigating the effects of climate change and adapting to them, such as legislation supporting sustainable construction practices, renewable energy projects, and sustainable mobility efforts.

The results highlight how crucial it is to take the environment into account when planning and carrying out major economic projects like the CPEC. Policymakers and project managers need to give community engagement, thorough environmental impact assessments, and sustainable practices top priority as they work on future development projects. Future activities can be measured against benchmarks such as integrating green building standards, supporting sustainable transportation, and strengthening infrastructure resilience. The social and economic fabric of the villages along the corridor is affected, in addition to the environmental aspects. Developing inclusive, flexible, and sustainable development strategies will be essential to resolving the issues this study has highlighted.

Even though this study is extensive, there are still certain areas that need to be investigated further. Future studies should examine how the CPEC will affect regional ecosystems and biodiversity over the long run, with an emphasis on identifying and reducing possible risks to endangered species. Furthermore, a more thorough comprehension of the socioeconomic effects of environmental changes, especially on marginalized communities, would aid in the creation of more focused and just policies. Additionally, studies examining the efficacy of the mitigation and adaptation solutions for climate change deployed under CPEC will yield important information for future iterations of similar policies. The demand for ongoing research is in line with the dynamic nature of environmental management and sustainable development, guaranteeing that the lessons learnt from CPEC can be applied to the changing global scene of large-scale infrastructure projects. Our research constitutes a measure in promoting sustainable development along the CPEC. It establishes the foundation for

educated decision-making and opens the door for future attempts to strike a balance between economic growth, environmental preservation, and community well-being by synthesizing current knowledge and identifying important areas for improvement.

ADVANCED RESEARCH

In writing this article the researcher realizes that there are still many shortcomings in terms of language, writing, and form of presentation considering the limited knowledge and abilities of the researchers themselves. Therefore, for the perfection of the article, the researcher expects constructive criticism and suggestions from various parties.

REFERENCES

Abid, M., et al. (2021). Particulate matter (PM2.5): The respiratory health perspective and current scenario in Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research,* 28(3), 2691–2701. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11194-w

Adger, W. N., et al. (2009). Are there social limits to adaptation to climate change? *Climatic Change,* 93(3-4), 335–354. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9520-z

Ahmad, I., et al. (2019). Assessment of water footprint of thermal power plants in Pakistan. *Sustainable Production and Consumption,* 20, 283–294. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spc.2019.08.001

Akhtar, S., et al. (2020). Renewable energy in Pakistan: Status and trends. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,* 124, 109793. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.109793

Alberti, M., et al. (2017). Global urban signatures of phenotypic change in animal and plant populations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(34), 8951–8956. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1606034114

Bradshaw, J., et al. (2019). The future of CCS: An interdisciplinary assessment of research needs. *Frontiers in Climate*, 1, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fclim.2019.00007

Dudgeon, D., et al. (2008). Freshwater biodiversity: importance, threats, status and conservation challenges. *Biological Reviews,* 81(2), 163–182. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2005.00083.x

Feng, J., et al. (2018). Changes in vegetation cover and their driving forces on the Tibetan Plateau during 2000–2015. *Science of the Total Environment*, 636, 790–805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.04.298

Hall, J. W., et al. (2019). Robust Infrastructure Design for the Water Sector under Climate Change Uncertainty. *Climatic Change,* 154(3-4), 335–349. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-019-02406-3

Hansen, M. C., et al. (2017). High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *Science,* 342(6160), 850–853. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1244693

Hinkel, J., et al. (2019). Adaptation to Climate Change in the Context of Sustainable Development and Equity. *In Climate Change and Land* (pp. 429–533). https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108614994.018

Hussain, M. S., et al. (2018). Assessment of water quality and its impact on the river Indus in Pakistan. *Desalination and Water Treatment,* 113, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.5004/dwt.2018.22935

IPCC. (2018). Global Warming of 1.5°C. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. *https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/*

Irfan, M., et al. (2018). Carbon capture and storage (CCS): A crucial strategy for mitigating industrial emissions in Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research,* 25(26), 25907–25920. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-2669-2

Jiang, C., et al. (2019). Renewable energy development in China: Resource assessment, policy and grid integration. *Renewable Energy,* 135, 907–917. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.12.036

Kennedy, C., et al. (2017). The study of urban metabolism and its applications to urban planning and design. *Environmental Pollution*, 159(8-9), 1965–1973. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.01.044

Khan, M. S., et al. (2020). A review of the impacts of deteriorating air quality on health in major cities of Pakistan. *Reviews on Environmental Health*, 35(3), 223–235. https://doi.org/10.1515/reveh-2020-0046

Kibert, C. J. (2016). Sustainable Construction: Green Building Design and Delivery. *John Wiley & Sons.*

Kumar, A., et al. (2020). Assessment of water demand and availability for sustainable development in the CPEC region, Pakistan. *Water, 12*(1), 91. https://doi.org/10.3390/w12010091

Li, L., et al. (2019). Sea-level rise and its impact on coastal zones in China. *Journal of Geographical Sciences,* 29(12), 2095–2110. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11442-019-1711-7

Li, M., et al. (2019). Effects of land-use changes on ecosystem services in the Sanjiang Plain, China. *Science of the Total Environment*, 659, 1069–1080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.448

Li, Y., et al. (2018). Assessing the environmental performance of construction projects: A green building certification in China. *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 197, 1471–1481. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.06.135

Liang, J., et al. (2017). Ecological impacts of the Three Gorges Dam on endangered Chinese sturgeon are driven by the distribution of spawning sites. *Biological Conservation,* 210, 53–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.04.010

Liu, Y., et al. (2021). Developing a comprehensive sustainability assessment framework for green building projects. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 282, 124516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124516

Moser, S. C., & Ekstrom, J. A. (2010). A framework to diagnose barriers to climate change adaptation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 107(51), 22026–22031. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1007887107

Nadeem, M. S., et al. (2020). Impact of land use change on biodiversity: A case study from the Central Himalayas, Pakistan. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment,* 192(11), 727. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-020-08605-7

Naz, R., et al. (2018). Land use change and its socio-economic driving forces in the Rawal Watershed of Pakistan. *Sustainability,* 10(2), 499. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020499

Shabbir, R., et al. (2021). Evaluating the spatiotemporal dynamics of land use/land cover changes and urban expansion in the Indus Basin. *Remote Sensing*, 13(3), 464. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13030464

Shahbaz, M., et al. (2018). Impacts of habitat fragmentation on plant guilds in the Potohar Plateau of Pakistan. *Journal of King Saud University - Science*, 30(1), 61–67. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2016.08.004

Smith, T. M., et al. (2016). Impacts of infrastructure on land use in the greater Mekong subregion. *Environmental Research Letters*, 11(2), 024011. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/11/2/024011

Tam, V. W. Y., et al. (2020). Sustainable construction materials: An exploratory case of Hong Kong. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 248, 119222. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119222

UNDRR. (2015). Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015–2030. *United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction. https://www.undrr.org/publication/sendai-framework-disaster-risk-reduction-2015-2030*

Wang, D., et al. (2018). Challenges and opportunities for sustainable urban transportation in China: A review. *Sustainability,* 10(11), 3968. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10113968

Wang, H., et al. (2020). Understanding the impact of transit-oriented development (TOD) on travel behavior: A case study of Shanghai. *Journal of Transport Geography*, 82, 102581. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2019.102581

Wei, W., et al. (2019). The development and challenges of electric buses in China: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews,* 109, 515–528. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.04.021

World Bank. (2019). Lifelines: The Resilient Infrastructure Opportunity. *World Bank Group.* https://doi.org/10.1596/978-1-4648-1449-0

Xu, Y., et al. (2019). Hydrological response to land use and land cover changes in the Gwadar Bay area, *Pakistan. Science of the Total Environment,* 656, 946–959. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.469

Yusuf, A., et al. (2019). Industrial sector emissions and spatial distribution of air pollutants in Pakistan. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(34), 34505–34518. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06672-3

Zhang, X., et al. (2017). Climate change impacts on extreme events in the United States: An uncertainty analysis. *Climatic Change,* 148(4), 585–600. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2224-y

Zhang, Y., et al. (2018). Air pollution and control action in Beijing. *Journal of Cleaner Production,* 190, 601–610. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.04.208

Zhang, Y., et al. (2020). An overview of electric vehicle development and charging infrastructure deployment in China. *Energy Reports,* 6, 1362–1373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2020.06.027

Zheng, B., et al. (2019). Environmental impact assessment of China's Belt and Road Initiative: *A review. Environmental Research Letters*, 14(12), 123002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab4bda